



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.             | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR          | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/975,520                  | 10/11/2001      | Bettina Fath                  | 101216-19               | 9360            |
| 27387 75                    | 90 11/18/2004   |                               | EXAM                    | INER            |
| •                           | LAUGHLIN & MARC | CHANNAVAJJALA, LAKSHMI SARADA |                         |                 |
| 875 THIRD AVE<br>18TH FLOOR |                 |                               | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
| NEW YORK, NY 10022          |                 |                               | 1615                    |                 |
|                             |                 |                               | DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004 | 1               |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary    Examiner  |  |  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Lakshmi S Channavajjata   1615   | Office Action Summary                              |  | 09/975,520  | FATH ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
| Period for Repty  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Exercises of term per be available under the provisions of 2°CR 1.13(e) in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled allowed from the repulsion of 2°CR 1.13(e) in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled allowed from the repulsion of 2°CR 1.13(e) in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled allowed from the repulsion of 2°CR 1.13(e) in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled allowed from the repulsion of 2°CR 1.13(e) in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled allowed from the repulsion of 2°CR 1.13(e) in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled allowed. The repulsion of 2°CR 1.13(e) in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled allowed. The repulsion of 2°CR 1.13(e) in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled allowed. The repulsion of 2°CR 1.13(e) in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled allowed. The repulsion of 2°CR 1.13(e) in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled allowed. The repulsion of 2°CR 1.13(e) in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled the considered linesy.  1) Responsive to communication (s) filled on 2°CR 1.13(e) and the repulsion of 2°CR 1.13(e) and 2°C  |  |  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheat with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Learning on the immune to evaluation under the provisions of 3°CR1.138(a). In no event, however, may a roply be trively field  If the partied for reply appetited above is less than thirty (30) (asys, a reply white in the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the partied for reply appetited above is less than thirty (30) (asys, a reply white in the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the partied for reply appetited above is less than thirty (30) (asys, a reply white in the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the partied for reply appetited and the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the partied for reply appetited and the communication.  Failure to reply white the set or extended fitter or morter with the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  Provided the communication of the communication of the communication.  Provided and the communication of the communication of the communication.  Provided Thirty (30) days and the communication.  Provided Thirty (30) days will be considered timely within the application of the application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the morits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  A   Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.  4   Claim(s) 1-16 is/are application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the morits is closed in accordance with the provided and the communication.  5   Claim(s) 1-16 is/are allowed.  6   Claim(s) 1-16 is/are allowed.  6   Claim(s) 1-16 is/are allowed.  1   Claim(s) 1-16 is/are allowed.  1   Claim(s) 1-16 is/are         |  |  | Lakshmi S Channavajjala   | 1615  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Label Story Month of the the mailed gate of this communication.  If the period for cely sportled above is less than thaty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thaty (30) each part of the period for cely sportled above is the saturation period will apply and will expire (30) MINIMO (30)  |  |  |   | correspondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Eatherison of time may be available under the procession of 3 CFR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely field after EXX (6) MIGNTES from the realing date of this communication. As a communication of the c |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 June 2004.  2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This action is non-final.  3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)□ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.  7)□ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.  7)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)□ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | THE I - Externafter - If the - If NC - Failu Any I | MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stately received by the Office later than three months after the maximum.  | N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro tute, cause the application to become ABANDON | timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: alm accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Discosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-5808)   | Status   |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  | 1)  🏹  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24   | 1 June <u>2004</u> .  | •   |  |  |  |  |
| 3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4   Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5   Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6   Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10   The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)   accepted or b)   objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)   All b)   Some * c)   None of: 1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1   Notice of References Cited (PTO-982)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | ,—   | and the contract of the contra |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| All bluscere the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All bluscere filted copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  3.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  4.   Certified copies of Pager of   | ,—   |  | wance except for formal matters, p  | rosecution as to the merits is  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)   | ,—   | ,  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4)   | Dianositi  | on of Claims   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)   | -  |  | ian.  | · .   |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to estriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | -  |  |   | •   |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Solution of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   | ·  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S8/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | -  | *  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | •  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5B/08)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | •  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on  |  |  |   | •   |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   | - '  |  | inor  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  | -  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  | •  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  1. Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  1. Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   |  |  | eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   | (a)-(d) or (f).   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | a)   | <del>-</del>   | ents have been received   | •   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  | <del></del>  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  9 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  | · · ·  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | Attachmer  | at(s)  | _   | . :   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | ,  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3) 🔲 Infor   | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB   | /08) 5) Notice of Informa   |   |  |  |  |  |

٠,

Application/Control Number: 09/975,520

Art Unit: 1615

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt of amendment and response dated 6-24-04 and request letter dated 6-29-04 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-14 have been pending and new claims 15-18 have been added. Claims 1-18 are present.

The following rejection of record has been maintained:

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,851,544 to Penska et al (Penska) in view of US 6,328,950 to Franzke et al (Franzke).

Instant claim 1 is an aqueous hair composition, for imparting improved hair styling properties to hair, comprising at least one UV-absorbing substance, green tea extract and at least one mica/titanium dioxide (TiO2) pigment, whereby at least 90% by weight thereof have a particle size between about 1 to about 250 microns. Claim 2 recites 80% to 90% of the pigment consists of mica and 10% to 20% of the pigment consists of TiO2. Claim 3 recites mica/TiO2 pigment in an amount of 0.05% to 5% by weight to the total weight of the composition. Claims 4 and 5 recite the UV absorbing compounds and their amounts from about 0.05% to 2.5%, by weight. Claims 7 and 8 recites amphoteric or zwitterionic surfactants in an amount of 0.1% to 5% by weight of the composition. Claim 9 recites 0.1% to 10% C12-C18 alkyl amidopropyl dimethyl or diethyl amine. Claims 10-14 are directed to a leave-in hair composition comprising the ingredients of claim 1.

Penska teaches a cosmetic composition comprising green tea extract, octyl methoxycinnamate, titanium dioxide, octyl methoxycinnamate and triethanolamine (see example 6 in col. 10). Penska teaches the composition for hair care or skin care and further teaches

Art Unit: 1615

addition of surfactants such anionic, nonionic, zwitterionic surfactants. Penska clearly teaches that their compositions are suitable as leave-on as well as rinse-off compositions and for styling, tonics, conditioning hair (col. 5, lines 10-17). Among the surfactants, Penska mentions alkyl propanolamides such as cocomonoisopropanolamide, cocamidopropyl betaine etc (col. 5, lines 54-67). Penska teaches sunscreen agents such as TiO2 or zinc oxide, but fails to teach the claimed Mica/TiO2 particles.

Franzke teaches pigment containing hair styling gel compositions for hair-fixing, coloring the hair as well as imparting brilliant color, hold, shapability and lustrous appearance (col. 1), comprising at least one pigment, a thickener, water, surfactant and a polymer. Among the pigment particles suspended in the composition, Franzke teaches coated pigments such as TIMIRON (col. 3, lines 25-65, particularly lines 25-27 and 64), also employed in the examples of the instant application. Among the surfactants, Franzke teaches betaines as suitable amphoteric surfactants and thus read on claims 8-9 (col. 30-47). Further, Franzke teaches leaveon hair care composition, for producing the desired hair color and hairstyle (col. 8, lines 49-65). The examples of Franzke teach hair mousse preparation with the claimed amounts of TIMIRON (col. 9). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to add TIMIRON (mica/TiO2 pigments) of Franzke in the chair care compositions of Penska comprising green tea extract, octyl methoxycinnamate for leave-on hair coloring or styling effects because Franzke suggests that the pigment particle containing composition impart color to the hair without run off effects on the hair and impart a pleasant appearance, hold and shapability to the hair. Examiner notes that Instant sp4eciifcation also use the same trademark product TIMIRON and accordingly, the percentages of particles claimed are

Art Unit: 1615

implicit to the product. Further, it would have been obvious for a skilled artisan to add the amphoteric surfactants, betaine, of Franzke in the composition of Penska and still expect the same emulsification. Furthermore, leaving the composition pf Penska containing green tea extract, UV-B absorbing compound (of Penska) and TIMIRON and betaine of Franzke, on the hair without rinsing would have been obvious for a skilled artisan because Franzke suggests leaving the composition on hair and subsequent combing as needed results in the desired hold, shapability of the hair.

New claims 15-18 have also been rejected under this section. New claims specifically recite benzophenone and alkyl amidopropyl dimethyl amine. Penska teaches benzophenone compounds for protection from harmful UV rays and suggests alkyl amidopropyl betaines as amphoteric surfactants. Accordingly, it would have been obvious for one of a skilled artisan at the time of the instant invention to employ the claimed UV absorbing compounds and surfactants with an expectation to achieve UV protection as well as the desired emulsification.

## **RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS:**

Applicants' arguments regarding the previous rejections and unexpected results have been considered but not found persuasive.

Applicants argue that the unexpected results presented in the instant application have not been evaluated and that mere withdrawal of the rejection over a combination of Penska and Kurz is not in itself sufficient basis to ignore the discussion of unexpected results. Applicants also argue that Franzke, which only teach mica/titanium pigment particles as one of the hundreds of pigments, has been cited only to provide a basis of combining Penska's composition with

Art Unit: 1615

mica/titanium pigment particles. Applicants argue that the mere recitation is insufficient for maintaining an obviousness rejection. Applicants' arguments are not found persuasive because both the references i.e., Penska and Franzke, teach compositions for hair care. In particular, Franzke not only teaches mica/titanium particles as one of the several pigments, but also teaches in hair care formulations (examples 1 and 2), which represent the best mode of practicing their invention. Thus, one of an ordinary skill in the art does not have to pick and choose mica/titanium particles from several pigments listed by Franzke. Instead, it would have been obvious fro a skilled artisan to use mica/titanium particles (TIMIRON in examples) in hair compositions, as suggested by Franzke so as to achieve the desired hairstyle and color. Further, Franzke teaches that the compositions are useful for hairstyling, fixing, coloring, hold, and shapability, and also suggests applying the composition as a leave-on product and combed as needed (col. 8, lines 51-63).

Applicants further argue that the claimed composition has unexpectedly superior properties or unexpectedly improved properties, which are not present in the prior art. In support, applicants state that the examples 1 and 1A on page 11 of the instant specification show that composition comprising mica/TiO2 particles provided a substantially superior hair styling properties in comparison to composition without the particles and that the superior results are attributed to the presence of mica/titanium particles, which was not disclosed by Penska or Franzke. However, as explained before, Franzke clearly exemplifies the claimed mica/titanium pigment particles and also in hair styling compositions. Franzke teaches that the composition impart hair shapability, style, luster, brightness, shine, holds and shapability. Further, while applicants argue that the instant composition produces unexpectedly superior results, examiner

Art Unit: 1615

notes that instant claims are only limited to a hair composition for imparting hair styling, and does not require the argued unexpected properties to be present. Hence for the reasons provided above, the rejection has been maintained.

With respect to applicants' request, it is to be noted that Supervisor has reviewed the present action. Given the present generic claim, in comparison with the unexpected and superior results of example 1, it is noted that the results are not sufficient to establish a patentable distinction. There are no unexpected results given the fact that the prior art is directed to the same field of endeavor and solving the same problem. Prior art reference teaches improved hair styling and the claims as recited requires no more than this property. This indicates that consideration has been given to the scope of claims and also to the composition of example 1 of the instant application.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1615

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lakshmi S Channavajjala whose telephone number is 571-272-0591. The examiner can normally be reached on 7.30 AM -4.00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lakshmi S Channavajjala Examiner Art Unit 1615 November 5, 2004

THURMAN K PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600